

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,317	03/24/2004	Ryuji Ogawa	03180.0359	3802
22852	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			SUN, XIUQIN	
LLP 901 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ΓON, DC 20001-4413		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,317	OGAWA, RYUJI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Xiuqin Sun	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this come (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	arch 2004.	:	
·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims		1 :	
·		+ * 3	
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Wit from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,8,10,16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.	·	:	
7) Claim(s) <u>7,7,8,70,70 and 70</u> is/are rejected.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		•	
o) Glaim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	1 Globalott Toquitotticiti.	. •	
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	* :	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are:	a) $igtimes$ accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected :	to by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:	
·		s) (d) == (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(u) or (i).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
		tion No	
			tane
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioapplication from the International Burea	•	· ·	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·	ed	
	of the continue copies her reserv	.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 			152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/24/04&09/02/04</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/807,317

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 10, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haffner et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,426,269).

With respect to claims 1, 10 and 18, Haffner et al. disclose an apparatus and method, and a computer program product for implementing the method, for optical proximity correction, comprising: a data collector and corresponding method step and means configured to collect source data of an electrical circuit pattern (col. 4, lines 34-51); an edge line detector and corresponding method step and means configured to detect a plurality of edge lines each of which has a size that is less than line width of the electrical circuit pattern (cols. 5-6, lines 60-2); an edge line modifier and corresponding method step and means configured to modify each of the edge lines as prevent an excess optical proximity correction (col. 6, lines 41-48); and a data synthesizer and corresponding method step and means configured to generate pre-correction data from the modified edge lines and the source data (cols. 6-7, lines 57-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,317

Art Unit: 2863

With respect to claims 8 and 16, Haffner et al. also teach: an optical proximity correction module and corresponding method step and means configured to set the model data as a target projection image and correct the pre-correction data and generate a corrected data (col. 4, lines 34-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haffner et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,426,269) in view of Yamamoto et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6868175).

Haffner et al. teach the subject matter discussed above. Haffner et al. do not mention explicitly: a model data storage unit configured to storage the source data as model data.

Yamamoto et al. disclose a pattern inspection apparatus and method, including a model data storage unit configured to storage the source data as reference model data (col. 9, lines 29-55).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teaching of Yamamoto et al. in the invention of

Application/Control Number: 10/807,317

Art Unit: 2863

Haffner et al. in order to make the source data re-useable (Yamamoto et al., col. 8, lines 56-65; col. 9, lines 29-55).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-6, 9, 11-15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Allowance

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 2-5 and 11-14 is the inclusion of the limitation of a shape analyzer configured to classify a shape formed by adjacent edge lines. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 6 and 15 is the inclusion of the limitation of a uniting module configured to unite each of the edge lines and a portion of the electrical circuit pattern. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 9 and 17 is the inclusion of the limitation of an error detector configured to judge whether a simulated projection image

Art Unit: 2863

calculated from the corrected data fulfils required conditions of the electrical circuit. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiuqin Sun whose telephone number is (571)272-2280. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571)272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

xs ()

September 16, 2005

Xiuqin Sun Examiner Art Unit 2863